



1 WHEREAS, on or about June 23, 2006, a complaint was filed in the above-referenced  
2 litigation, brought on behalf of all persons and entities who purchased Terayon Communications  
3 Systems, Inc. ("Terayon") common stock from October 28, 2004 through March 1, 2006 (the "Class  
4 Period") and suffered damages (the "Class");

5 WHEREAS, the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 (the "PSLRA") provides  
6 that within 20 days after the date on which a securities class action complaint is filed, the plaintiff  
7 shall publish notice advising members of the purported class of the pendency of the action, the  
8 claims asserted, and the class period, and that within 60 days of the date on which the notice was  
9 published, any member of the class may move the court to serve as lead plaintiff (15 U.S.C. Section  
10 78u-4(a)(3)(A)(I));

11 WHEREAS, notice was published by the plaintiff in the first-filed action on a national  
12 business-oriented publication on July 11, 2006;

13 WHEREAS, within 90 days of the published notice "the court...shall appoint as lead  
14 plaintiff the member or members of the purported plaintiff class that the court determines to be most  
15 capable of adequately representing the interests of class members" (15 U.S.C. Section 78u-  
16 4(a)(3)(B)(I));

17 WHEREAS, pursuant to the PSLRA, two motions were timely filed by lead plaintiff  
18 movants seeking to appoint lead plaintiff and lead counsel, including a motion by proposed lead  
19 plaintiff Adrian G. Mongeli ("Mongeli");

20 WHEREAS, the only other lead plaintiff movant besides Mongeli – I.B.I. Investments Ltd. -  
21 - withdrew its Motion to Appoint Lead Plaintiff and Lead Counsel by notice filed on September 22,  
22 2006;

23 WHEREAS, in selecting a lead plaintiff, the court must adopt a presumption that the most  
24 adequate plaintiff in any private action is the person or group of persons that has either filed the  
25 complaint or made a lead plaintiff motion; in the determination of the court has the largest financial  
26 interest in the relief sought; and otherwise satisfies the adequacy and typicality requirements of  
27 Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (15 U.S.C. Section 78u-4(a)(3)(B)(iii));

28

1 WHEREAS, the Court has considered the Motion of Adrian G. Mongeli to Be Appointed  
2 Lead Plaintiff Pursuant to Section 21D(a)(3)(B) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and to  
3 Approve Proposed Lead Plaintiff's Choice of Counsel ("Motion"), the supporting Memorandum of  
4 Points and Authorities, the Declaration of Michael Braun, and all other related documents.

5 WHEREAS, Mongeli, as set forth in his certification, has sustained a substantial loss from  
6 his investment in Terayon stock amounting to approximately \$63,248.87;

7 WHEREAS, as the only remaining proposed lead plaintiff movant, Mongeli has the largest  
8 financial interest in the relief sought;

9 WHEREAS, Mongeli satisfies the typicality and adequacy requirements of Rule 23(a)(3)-(4)  
10 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure because:

11 1. Mongeli's claims arise from the same course of conduct that gives rise to claims of  
12 other class members, and the claims are based on the same legal theory (*See Crossen v. CV*  
13 *Therapeutics*, 2005 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 41396, \*13 (N.D.Cal. 2005));

14 2. Like all other putative class members, Mongeli purchased Terayon stock during the  
15 Class Period at artificially inflated prices as a result of defendants' misrepresentations and  
16 omissions and suffered damage thereby;

17 3. Mongeli has no apparent unique or special defenses, nor does he have any claim or  
18 interest antagonistic to other members of the class.

19 4. Mongeli has selected the law firms of Kahn Gauthier & Swick, LLC ("KGS") and  
20 Saxena White P.A. ("Saxena White") to serve as co-lead counsel in this litigation, and to have the  
21 Braun Law Group, P.C. ("BLG") act as liaison counsel. As demonstrated in the firm biographies  
22 submitted with the Motion, KGS and Saxena White are highly competent firms that have not only  
23 successfully prosecuted numerous complex securities fraud class actions on behalf of shareholders,  
24 but have also successfully prosecuted many other types of complex cases. Both firms have the  
25 resources and skills to more than adequately represent the class. Mongeli has further selected the  
26 Braun Law Group, P.C. to serve as liaison counsel. As demonstrated in its firm biography  
27 submitted with the Motion, the Braun Law Group, P.C. regularly practices in this District and  
28 specializes in the prosecution of complex class actions.

1 WHEREAS, Mongeli has selected the law firms of KGS and Saxena White to serve as co-  
2 lead counsel in this litigation, and to have BLG act as liaison counsel. Mongeli otherwise meets the  
3 requirements of Rule 23(a)(4) relating to adequate representation because: (1) class counsel is  
4 “qualified, experienced, and generally able to conduct the litigation”; (2) the interests of the class  
5 are not antagonistic to one another; and (3) the lead plaintiff has a “sufficient interest in the  
6 outcome of the case to ensure vigorous advocacy.” *Miller v. Ventro Corp.*, 2001 U.S. Dist. LEXIS  
7 26027, \*44 (N.D. Cal. 2001), citing *Takeda v. Turbodyne Techs.*, 67 F. Supp. 2d 1129, 1132 (C.D.  
8 Cal. 1999);

9 WHEREAS, the PSLRA vests authority in the lead plaintiff to select and retain counsel to  
10 represent the class, subject to Court approval;

11 WHEREAS, there are no other movants seeking appointment as lead plaintiff;

12 WHEREAS, the hearing previously scheduled for October 24, 2006, to consider the motions  
13 to appoint lead plaintiff and approve lead plaintiff’s selection of lead counsel was taken off  
14 calendar;

15 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED as follows:**

16 **CONSOLIDATION**

17 1. When an action that arises out of the same subject matter of this action is filed in this  
18 Court or transferred from another Court (“Related Action”), the Clerk of this Court shall:

- 19 a. File a copy of this order in the separate file for such action;  
20 b. Mail a copy of this order to the attorneys for plaintiff(s) in the newly filed or  
21 transferred case and to any new defendant(s) in the newly filed or transferred case; and  
22 c. Make the appropriate entry in the docket for this action.

23 2. Each Related Action shall be consolidated with this action (the “Action”), pursuant  
24 to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 42, and shall be governed by this order, unless a party objects to  
25 consolidation, or any provision of this order, within 10 days after the date upon which a copy of this  
26 order is served on counsel for such party, by filing an application for relief and this Court deems it  
27 appropriate to grant such application.  
28

3. Counsel in any Related Action that is consolidated with this Action shall be bound by this order.

**APPOINTMENT OF LEAD PLAINTIFF AND APPROVAL OF  
LEAD COUNSEL AND LIAISON COUNSEL**

4. Adrian G. Mongeli is appointed lead plaintiff pursuant to the PSLRA. Mongeli satisfies the requirements, as discussed in detail above, for appointment as lead plaintiff pursuant to the PSLRA and Rule 23(a)(3)-(4) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

5. Pursuant to the PSLRA, lead plaintiff has selected, and the Court approves his selection of the law firms Kahn Gauthier Swick, LLC and Saxena White P.A. to serve as co-lead counsel, and the Braun Law Group to serve as liaison counsel in this Action.

6. Co-lead counsel shall have the following responsibilities and duties, to be carried out on behalf of lead plaintiff and the proposed class, either personally or through counsel whom co-lead counsel shall designate:

a. to coordinate the briefing and arguments of motions and the presentation of positions at pretrial conferences;

b. to coordinate discovery proceedings;

c. to call meetings of the plaintiff's counsel as they deem necessary and appropriate from time to time;

d. to coordinate discussions with defendants and their counsel, including all settlement negotiations;

e. to coordinate the preparation for trial and the trial of this matter and to delegate work responsibilities to selected counsel as may be required;

f. to coordinate any motion for approval of settlement, including any motions seeking the award of fees and/or reimbursement of costs;

g. to supervise any other matters concerning the prosecution, resolution or settlement of the Action; and

h. to be the contact between plaintiff's counsel and defendants' counsel, and to coordinate the disseminating of Court orders and notices.

7. Counsel in any related action that is consolidated with the Action shall be bound by this organization of plaintiff's counsel.

8. Service of papers on plaintiff shall be effected by serving a copy on co-lead and liaison counsel.

**IT IS SO ORDERED:**

DATED: \_\_\_\_\_, 2006

---

HON. MARTIN J. JENKINS  
U. S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

Respectfully submitted by:

Michael D. Braun  
BRAUN LAW GROUP, P.C.  
12400 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 920  
Los Angeles, CA 90025  
Tel: (310) 442-7755  
Fax: (310) 442-7756

**Proposed Liaison Counsel for Lead Plaintiff  
Movant Adrian G. Mongeli and the Class**

Maya Saxena  
Joseph E. White, III  
SAXENA WHITE P.A.  
2424 North Federal Highway, Suite 257  
Boca Raton, FL 33431  
Tel: (561) 394-3399  
Fax: (561) 394-3382

Lewis Kahn  
Michael A. Swick  
KAHN GAUTHIER SWICK, LLC  
650 Poydras Street, Suite 2150  
New Orleans, LA 70130  
Tel: (504) 455-1400  
Fax: (504) 455-1498

Michael A. Swick  
Kim E. Miller  
KAHN GAUTHIER SWICK, LLC  
114 E. 39<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, NY 10016  
Tel: (212) 920-4310  
Fax: (504) 455-1498

**Proposed Lead Counsel for Lead Plaintiff  
Movant Adrian G. Mongeli and the Class**

**PROOF OF SERVICE**

STATE OF CALIFORNIA )  
 )ss.:  
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES )

I am employed in the county of Los Angeles, State of California, I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action; my business address is 12400 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 920, Los Angeles, CA 90025.

On October 27, 2006, using the Northern District of California's Electronic Case Filing System, with the ECF ID registered to Michael D. Braun, I filed and served the document(s) described as:

**SECOND AMENDED [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF LEAD PLAINTIFF AND APPROVAL OF CHOSEN COUNSEL**

The ECF System is designed to automatically generate an e-mail message to all parties in the case, which constitutes service. According to the ECF/PACER system, for this case, the parties served are as follows:

Lionel Z. Glancy, Esq. info@glancylaw.com

**Attorneys for Plaintiff**

On October 27, 2006, I served the document(s) described as:

**SECOND AMENDED [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF LEAD PLAINTIFF AND APPROVAL OF CHOSEN COUNSEL**

by placing a true copy(ies) thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope(s) addressed as follows:

Maya Saxena, Esq.  
Joseph E. White, III., Esq.  
SAXENA WHITE P.A.  
2424 North Federal Highway, Suite 257  
Boca Raton, FL 33431  
Tel: (561) 394-3399  
Fax: (561) 394-3382

Lewis Kahn, Esq.  
KAHN GAUTHIER SWICK, LLC  
650 Poydras Street, Suite 2150  
New Orleans, LA 70130  
Tel: (504) 455-1400  
Fax: (504) 455-1498

///

///

///

1 Michael A. Swick  
Kim E. Miller  
2 KAHN GAUTHIER SWICK, LLC  
114 E. 39<sup>th</sup> Street  
3 New York, NY 10016  
Tel: (212) 920-4310  
4 Fax: (504) 455-1498

5 **Attorneys for Proposed Lead Plaintiff**  
6 **Movant Adrian G. Mongeli and the Class**

Patrick E. Gibbs, Esq.  
7 Amy Stein, Esq.  
LATHAM & WATKINS LLP  
8 140 Scott Drive  
Menlo Park, CA 94025  
9 Tel: (650) 463-4696  
Fax: (650) 463-2600

10 **Attorneys for Terayon Communications Systems, Inc.**

11 Zaki Rakib  
12 c/o Terayon Communications Systems, Inc.  
4988 Great America Parkway  
13 Santa Clara, CA 95054

14 Jerry D. Chase  
c/o Terayon Communications Systems, Inc.  
15 4988 Great America Parkway  
Santa Clara, CA 95054

16 Mark A. Richman  
17 c/o Terayon Communications Systems, Inc.  
4988 Great America Parkway  
18 Santa Clara, CA 95054

19 Edward Lopez  
c/o Terayon Communications Systems, Inc.  
20 4988 Great America Parkway  
Santa Clara, CA 95054

21 **Defendants**

22 I served the above document(s) as follows:

23 BY MAIL. I am familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing  
24 correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with U.S. postal service on  
25 that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid at Los Angeles, California in the ordinary course  
26 of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal  
cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in an  
affidavit.



1 I declare, pursuant to Civil L.R. 23-2, that on the date hereof I served a copy of the above-  
2 listed document(s) on the Securities Class Action Clearinghouse by electronic mail through the  
3 following electronic mail address provided by the Securities Class Action Clearinghouse:

4 **scac@law.stanford.edu**

5 I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this Court at whose direction the  
6 service was made.

7 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the above is true  
8 and correct.

9 Executed on October 27, 2006, at Los Angeles, California 90025.

10 /S/ LEITZA MOLINAR

11 Leitza Molinar